arXiv:1209.0483v1 [math.AP] 3 Sep 2012

APPLICATIONS OF FOURIER ANALYSIS IN HOMOGENIZATION AND BOUNDARY LAYER
II. L^p ESTIMATES

HAYK ALEKSANYAN, HENRIK SHAHGHOLIAN, AND PER SJÖLIN

Abstract. The boundary homogenization for Dirichlet problem (system), is the subject of study in this paper. Using methods from Fourier analysis we prove L^p convergence for solutions to the system

$$\operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)) = 0, \text{ in } D, \qquad u_\varepsilon(x) = g(x, x/\varepsilon) \text{ on } \partial D,$$

to a limit function u_0, for bounded, uniformly convex, regular domains $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$), and regular operators and boundary data. The outcome of our paper is the following convergence rate for all $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^p(D)} \leq C_p \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1/2p}, & d = 2, \\ (\varepsilon|\ln\varepsilon|)^{1/p}, & d = 3, \\ \varepsilon^{1/p}, & d \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

which we prove is (generically) sharp for $d \geq 4$. Here u_0 is the solution to the averaging problem. As a consequence of the proof of the convergence result, we also obtain estimates for the convergence rate for homogenization of Neumann problem with oscillating boundary data.

1. Introduction and main result

Recently, the current authors obtained pointwise convergence rate for solutions to the boundary layer, and homogenization problem for Dirichlet system, with rapidly oscillating data. From our pointwise estimate one could easily obtain L^p convergence rate for solutions, of the oscillating problem to the effective limit. That the effective limit exists, is a consequence of the assumption of convexity and regularity of the domain, as well as the smoothness of the operator and the

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B27; Secondary 42B20.

Key words and phrases. Homogenization, boundary layer, Elliptic systems, Dirichlet problem, Neumann problem, Oscillatory Integrals.

H. Aleksanyan thanks Göran Gustafsson Foundation for visiting appointment to KTH.

H. Shahgholian was partially supported by Swedish Research Council.

boundary data (see [LS], [ASS]). Nevertheless, the results in [ASS], not being optimal, raise naturally the question of finding the optimal rate for L^p convergence. Similar attempt has been made in several papers, where sometimes strong assumptions have to be made in order to obtain some reasonable results. The latest results for the convergence rate can be found in D. Gérard-Varet and N. Masmoudi [GM], where they also consider oscillating operators. This in its own turn implies substantial difficulty as the interplay between the oscillating operator and the data gives rise to new unknown terms.

In this paper we shall strengthen our results on L^p convergence rate in [ASS], which we prove to be optimal in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $d \geq 4$, and close to optimal in $\mathbb{R}^3$. A partial result concerning optimality in dimension two is also considered. Our technique uses Fourier analysis methods and depends heavily on the regularity of the operator, and the boundary data, as well as on the regularity, and uniform convexity of the domain. The method is straightforward and computational analysis, using estimates of the Poisson kernel, and is based on the study of oscillatory integrals with singular kernels.

Let D be a bounded and uniformly convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$), and Γ be its boundary. Let also $A(y) = (A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y))$, $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq d$, $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ be an $\mathbb{R}^{N^2 \times d^2}$-valued function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and g be an $\mathbb{C}^N$-valued function defined on $\overline{D} \times \mathbb{T}^d$. We study asymptotic behavior of solutions to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L} u_\varepsilon(x) = 0, & \text{in } D, \\ u_\varepsilon(x) = g(x, x/\varepsilon), & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, and using the summation convention of repeated indices the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as

$$(\mathcal{L}u)_i := -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left[A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x^\beta} \right] = -\operatorname{div}\left[A(x) \nabla u \right],$$

where $u = (u_1, ..., u_N)$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$.

For (1) we consider the corresponding homogenized problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L} u_0(x) = 0, & \text{in } D, \\ u_0(x) = \overline{g}(x), & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $\overline{g}(x) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} g(x, y) dy$.

It is interesting to note that our methods developed for homogenization of the Dirichlet boundary value problem, enable us to treat the case of a similar problem with Neumann boundary value. For the operator $\mathcal{L}$ defined above, and for some function $F_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}^N$ consider the following problem

$$
\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u_\varepsilon(x) = F_\varepsilon(x), & \text{in } D, \\ \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu}(x) = g(x, x/\varepsilon), & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \tag{3}
$$

where $\left(\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu}\right)^i(x) = n_\alpha(x) A^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u^j_\varepsilon}{\partial x_\beta}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, denotes the conormal derivative, and $n(x)$ is the outward unit normal to Γ at the point x. Here for each $\varepsilon > 0$ one chooses F_ε so that the compatibility condition $\int\limits_D F_\varepsilon(x)dx = \int\limits_\Gamma g(y, y/\varepsilon)d\sigma(y)$ holds true, and $\sup\limits_{\varepsilon>0} \|F_\varepsilon\|_\infty < \infty$.

Remark 1.1. *It is noteworthy that our results can be formulated in terms of double and single layer potentials, regardless of solutions to partial differential equations. In this regard the method presented here gives the advantage of being applied to problems in potential theory, and double/single layer potentials with oscillating densities.*

1.1. **Assumptions.** We make the following assumptions:

i (Periodicity) The boundary vector-valued function g is 1-periodic in its second variable:

$$g(x, y + h) = g(x, y), \ \forall x \in D, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \forall h \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

ii (Ellipticity) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$c^{-1}\xi^i_\alpha \xi^i_\alpha \leq A^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(y)\xi^i_\alpha \xi^j_\beta \leq c\xi^i_\alpha \xi^i_\alpha, \qquad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times N}.$$

iii (Convexity) We assume that Γ is uniformly convex hypersurface, that is all its principal curvatures are bounded away from 0.

iv (Smoothness) We suppose that the boundary value g in both variables, function F_ε in problem (3) for each $\varepsilon > 0$, all elements of A and the surface Γ are sufficiently smooth. Here we do not aim to obtain the optimal smoothness, but rather focus on the method itself.

v (Symmetry) In case of problem (3) we assume $A = A^*$, i.e. $a^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(y) = a^{\beta\alpha}_{ji}(y)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq d$.

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.2. *(Dirichlet problem) Let u_ε be a solution to the system (1) and u_0 to that of (2) under assumptions (i)-(iv). Then, for all $1 \leq p < \infty$*

one has

$$||u_\varepsilon - u_0||_{L^p(D)} \leq C_p \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1/2p}, & d = 2, \\ (\varepsilon|\ln\varepsilon|)^{1/p}, & d = 3\,, \\ \varepsilon^{1/p}, & d \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Our efforts to get rid of the log-term in the case $d = 3$ did not give any result, partly due to the integrals containing the highest derivatives of the kernel (see e.g. integral A_6 in the proof of the main theorem).

Next, we consider the question of optimality of the L^p convergence rate provided by Theorem 1.2. In particular we prove that in dimensions greater than 3 the convergence rate obtained in Theorem 1.2 is sharp. For simplicity we will consider the case of simple equations rather than system.

Theorem 1.3. *(Optimality) Let* $N = 1$, *and* D, Γ, *and* $\mathcal{L}$ *be as before. Let* u_ε *be a solution to the system (1) and* u_0 *to that of (2) under assumptions (i)-(iv). Then for each* $1 \leq p < \infty$ *there exists a constant* C_p *independent of* ε, *such that*

$$||u_\varepsilon - u_0||_{L^p(D)} \geq C_p \varepsilon^{1/p} ||g - \overline{g}||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Theorems 1.2-1.3 imply that the convergence rate of homogenization of the Dirichlet problem is optimal when $d \geq 4$.

As an application of the proof of convergence result for the Dirichlet problem, we consider homogenization of the Neumann problem, with oscillating boundary data.

Theorem 1.4. *(Neumann Problem) Let* u_ε *be a solution to the system (3) under conditions (i)-(v), and* u_0 *be a solution to the same problem where the boundary value* g *is replaced by* $\overline{g}$, *and* F_ε *is replaced by some smooth function* F_0 *to fulfill the compatibility condition. Denote*

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(x) - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \int\limits_\Gamma u_\varepsilon(y) d\sigma(y) - \int\limits_D N(x,y) F_\varepsilon(y) dy,$$

and let v_0 *be the term corresponding to homogenized problem. Then for* $d \geq 3$ *and* $1 \leq p < \infty$ *one has*

$$||v_\varepsilon - v_0||_{L^p(D)} \leq C_p \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1/2p}, & d = 2, \\ \varepsilon^{1/p}, & d = 3, \\ \varepsilon^{3/2p}, & d = 4, \\ \varepsilon^{2/p}|\ln\varepsilon|^{1/p}, & d \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

The reader may wonder about the behavior for the Neumann problem, versus Dirichlet above, and the logarithmic term that appears for all dimensions greater than 4 in the Neumann case! This non-optimality with log-term, appears exactly in our computation of the integral which includes the highest singularity of the representation kernel (see e.g. integral A_6 in the proof of the main theorem).

The following is an example of problem 3, for which the convergence rate of its solutions is determined by its boundary data.

Example 1.5. *For each* $\varepsilon > 0$ *take* $F_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{|D|}\int\limits_{\Gamma} g(y, y/\varepsilon)d\sigma(y)$, *and* $F_0 = \frac{1}{|D|}\int\limits_{\Gamma} \overline{g}(y)d\sigma(y)$. *Since* g *is sufficiently smooth function, and* Γ *is a smooth and uniformly convex hypersurface, after expanding* g *into its Fourier series with respect to the periodic variable, and applying the principle of stationary phase (see* [S], *chapter VIII, Theorem 1) on each summand we have*

$$|F_\varepsilon - F_0| \leq C\varepsilon^{(d-1)/2}.$$

Using this, and Lemma 1.10 we obtain

$$\left| \int\limits_{D} N(x,y)(F_\varepsilon - F_0)dy \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{(d-1)/2} \int\limits_{D} |N(x,y)|dy \leq C\varepsilon^{(d-1)/2},$$

where C *is independent of* $x \in D$, *and* $\varepsilon > 0$. *Combining this last estimate with Theorem 1.4, for each* $1 \leq p < \infty$ *we arrive at*

$$||u_\varepsilon - u_0 - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\int\limits_{\Gamma}(u_\varepsilon - u_0)d\sigma(x)||_{L^p(D)} \leq C_p \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1/2p}, & d = 2, \\ \varepsilon^{1/p}, & d = 3, \\ \varepsilon^{3/2p}, & d = 4, \\ \varepsilon^{2/p}|\ln \varepsilon|^{1/p}, & d \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

The example shows, that we will have the same picture, if we take some smooth and one periodic function $F(x)$, *and proceed by taking* $F_\varepsilon(x) = F(x/\varepsilon)$, *and* $F_0 = \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} F(x)dx$.

Theorem 1.6. *(Gradient of Neumann solutions) Let* v_ε *and* v_0 *be as in Theorem 1.4. Then for* $1 \leq p < \infty$, *and any* $\kappa > 0$ *where* $\kappa < 1/2p$ *for* $d = 2$, *and* $\kappa < 1/p$ *for* $d \geq 3$, *one has*

$$||\nabla(v_\varepsilon - v_0)||_{L^p(D)} \leq C_{p,\kappa}\varepsilon^{\kappa}.$$

The authors believe the result of Theorem 1.2 is optimal in dimensions two and three as well. The optimal rate also indicates that one also expects that the problem with both oscillating coefficients and boundary data should have a similar rate, at its best. An evidence for this can be observed in [KLS1] where using the so-called Dirichlet correctors the problem with both oscillating operator and boundary data is transformed to a new problem with only oscillating Dirichlet data, where the difference between the solution of the original problem and the new one (see [KLS1], Theorem 3.9) is similar to the estimate in our Theorem 1.2. Although this transforms the general problem to one with fixed operator, we can not apply our method directly to homogenize the new boundary data, since the new oscillation term is not explicit, and involves the Dirichlet correctors.

We also note that our method depends on the regularity of the Poisson kernel and its derivative. The Poisson kernel has the representation $P(x,y) = n(y)A(y)\nabla_y G(x,y)$, with $n(y)$ the outward unit normal to Γ at y, G the Greens function and A the matrix representing the operator. This implies that oscillating operator $P_\varepsilon(x,y) = n(y)A(y/\varepsilon)\nabla_y G_\varepsilon(x,y)$, has derivatives with the factor $1/\varepsilon$ in front of it which causes our method to fail in the case of oscillating operators. In the paper of [GM] this is taken care of by approximating the surface by hyperplanes, scaling techniques and the use of correctors. These steps of [GM] naturally cause the loss of the optimality in speed.

Finally we refer to some standard texts in PDE [ADN1], [ADN2], homogenization theory [AA], [BLP], [JKO], and oscillatory integrals [S] for the background.

1.2. **Preliminaries.**

Before proceeding to the proofs of the main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.7. *(*[ASS]*, Lemma 2.1) Let $P(x,y)$, where $x \in D$ and $y \in \Gamma$, be the Poisson kernel for the problem* (1) *under assumptions (i)-(iv). Then for each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ there exists a constant C_α depending on α, domain D, and operator $\mathcal{L}$, such that*

$$|D_y^\alpha P(x,y)| \leq C_\alpha \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-1+|\alpha|}}, \qquad x \in D, y \in \Gamma,$$

$$|P(x,y)| \leq C_0 \frac{d(x)}{|x-y|^d}, \qquad x \in D, y \in \Gamma,$$

where $|\alpha| = |\alpha_1| + ... + |\alpha_d|$, and $d(x)$ is the distance of the point x from the boundary Γ.

The first estimate follows from [ASS] and the second (with distance) from [KLS1] equation (3.1).

Using this Lemma we can establish uniform bounds with respect to $x \in D$ of the surface integral of the modulus of $P(x,y)$, which we will use later on. Fix $x \in D$, and take $r > 0$. Due to convexity of the domain the level set $E_r := \{y \in \Gamma : |x - y| = r\}$ is contained in a base of a circular cone with vertex x and side length r, and hence there exists a constant C depending on the domain D so that $\mathcal{H}_{d-2}(E_r) \leq Cr^{d-2}$. Using this observation and applying the second estimate of Lemma 1.7 we obtain

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma} |P(x,y)| d\sigma(y) \leq Cd(x) \int\limits_{\Gamma} \frac{d\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^d} \leq Cd(x) \int\limits_{d(x)}^{diam(D)} \frac{r^{d-2}}{r^d} dr \leq C', \tag{4}$$

where the constant C' is independent of $x \in D$.

Lemma 1.8. *([ASS], Lemma 2.3) If $f \in C^k(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\sum_{m \neq 0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{||m||^\beta} |c_m(f)| \leq C_{k+\beta} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\ |\alpha| = k} ||D^\alpha f||_2^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

provided $k + \beta > d/2$, where $c_m(f)$ is the m-th coefficient of the Fourier expansion of f, $||m||$ denotes the Euclidean norm of the lattice point m, and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_d$.

An immediate consequence of Lemma 1.8 is the following result.

Lemma 1.9. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, D be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and f a given function $f(x,y) : D \times \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, which is periodic in its second variable. Suppose that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$ with $|\alpha| \leq k$, $D^\alpha_y f(x,y)$ exists and is continuous on $D \times \mathbb{T}^d$. Then*

$$\sum_{m \neq 0,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{|c_m(f;x)|}{||m||^\beta} \leq C_{k+\beta,f}, \qquad \forall x \in D,$$

provided $k + \beta > d/2$, where $c_m(f;x)$ is the m-th coefficient of the Fourier expansion of f at the point x.

By $N(x,y)$ denote the matrix of Neumann functions for operator $\mathcal{L}$ in D (see [KLS3] for definition). We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.10. *Under the assumptions (i)-(v) and $d \geq 3$, for each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$ there exists a constant C_α such that for all $x \in D$ and $y \in \Gamma$ one has*

$$|D^\alpha_y N(x,y)| \leq C_\alpha \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+|\alpha|-2}}, \qquad (\mathtt{I}),$$

and

$$|D^\alpha_y \nabla_x N(x,y)| \leq C_\alpha \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+|\alpha|-1}}, \qquad (\mathtt{II}),$$

where $|\alpha| = |\alpha_1| + ... + |\alpha_d|$.

For $d = 2$ *one obtains the estimates of similar type where* $d + |\alpha| - 2$ *is replaced by* $|\alpha| + \kappa$, *in the first term, and* $d + |\alpha| - 1$ *is replaced by* $1 + |\alpha| + \kappa$, *in the second term. Here* κ *can be take to be any positive constant.*

The estimate for Neumann function in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is not optimal in the literatures; at least we could not find a reference. One should expect the logarithmic estimate, but the best estimate we found is that in the above lemma (see Remark 5.7 in [KLS3]).

Proof. The case when $|\alpha| \leq 1$, under weaker conditions on operator and domain was treated in [KLS3]. The case of $|\alpha| = 2$, or even higher orders can be done by a scaling argument along with up to boundary uniform regularity for solutions to Neumann problems; see Lemma 2.1 in [ASS] for a similar treatment for the Poisson kernel. □

An easy consequence of this lemma is the following bound on the gradients of u_ε.

Lemma 1.11. *Let* u_ε *be a solution to the problem (3). Then for each* $\kappa > 0$ *there exists a constant* C_κ *independent of* ε *such that*

$$|\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C_\kappa \frac{1}{d^\kappa(x)}, \qquad \forall x \in D.$$

Proof. The following representation is known

$$u_\varepsilon(x) - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \int\limits_\Gamma u_\varepsilon(x)dx = \int\limits_D N(x,y)F_\varepsilon(y)dy + \int\limits_\Gamma N(x,y)g_\varepsilon(y)d\sigma(y). \tag{5}$$

Using the uniform boundedness of F_ε and g_ε with respect to $\varepsilon > 0$, from (5) we obtain

$$|\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \left(\int\limits_D |\nabla_x N(x,y)|dy + \int\limits_\Gamma |\nabla_x N(x,y)| d\sigma(y) \right). \tag{6}$$

The volume integral in (6) is bounded by virtue of estimate (II) in Lemma 1.10. For the surface integral, again the estimate (II) of Lemma 1.10, gives us

$$\int\limits_\Gamma |\nabla_x N(x,y)| d\sigma(y) \leq C \int\limits_\Gamma \frac{d\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^{d-1}} \leq \frac{C}{d^\kappa(x)} \int\limits_\Gamma \frac{d\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^{d-1-\kappa}}.$$

The last integral is uniformly bounded with respect to x by some constant depending on κ, hence we obtain the result. □

2. Proofs of convergence results

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We divide the proof into some steps.
Step 1. Reduction to local graphs. Let $z \in \Gamma$ and $r > 0$ be small. Then there exists an orthogonal transformation $\mathcal{R}$ such that

$$(\mathcal{R}(\Gamma - z)) \cap B(0,r) = \{(y', \psi(y')) : \ |y'| \le 10r\} \cap B(0,r), \tag{7}$$

where $y' = (y_1, ..., y_{d-1})$, $\psi(0) = \nabla\psi(0) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y_j^2}(0) = a_j$, $j = 1, 2, ..., d-1$, with $a_j > 0$, and $\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(0) = 0$, for $i \neq j$. Also $|D^\alpha\psi| \le C_\alpha$, and $0 < c \le a_1 \le a_2 \le ... \le a_{d-1} \le C$. We also have

$$K_1|y'| \le |\nabla\psi(y')| \le K_2|y'|, \tag{8}$$

where K_1 and K_2 do not depend on z. Now choose $\delta > 0$ so small that

(a) $\delta < \frac{r}{1000}$ and $K_1\delta < 1$,
(b) (8) holds for $|y'| \le \frac{K_1}{4K_2}\delta$,
(c) $\left|\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\right| \le \frac{a_1}{1000d}$ for $i \neq j$ and $\left|\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y_j^2} - a_j\right| \le \frac{a_j}{100}$ for $|y'| \le 100\delta$ when $j = 1, 2, ..., d-1$,
(d) $|n'| \le K_1\delta$ implies that there exists a unique $|y'| \le \delta$ so that $\nabla\psi(y') = n'$.

We remark that δ is a constant that does not depend on z. We have $\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{z\in\Gamma} B(z, \frac{1}{2}L\delta)$ where $L = \frac{K_1}{4K_2 d}$, hence $\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{M} B(z^k, \frac{1}{2}L\delta)$ for some $z^1, ..., z^M \in \Gamma$. We take a partition of unity $\sum_{k=1}^{M} \varphi_k = 1$ on Γ, where $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi_k) \subset B(z^k, L\delta)$, and $\varphi_k \in C^\infty$. Set $B_k = B(z^k, L\delta)$. Recall that $\overline{g}(x)$ is the average of g on the unit torus with respect to its periodic variable, also denote $g_\varepsilon(x) := g(x, x/\varepsilon)$.

We have

$$u_\varepsilon(x) - u_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \int_\Gamma P(x,y)[g_\varepsilon(y) - \overline{g}(y)]\varphi_k(y)d\sigma(y) := \sum_{k=1}^{M} I_k,$$

where

$$I_k := \int_\Gamma P(x,y)[g_\varepsilon(y) - \overline{g}(y)]\varphi_k(y)d\sigma(y).$$

Step 2. Reduction to volume integrals. Set $z = y - z^k$, then

$$I_k = \int_{(\Gamma\cap B_k)-z^k} P(x, z^k + z)[g_\varepsilon - \overline{g}](z^k + z)\varphi_k(z^k + z)d\sigma(z).$$

We have $(\Gamma \cap B_k) - z^k = (\Gamma - z^k) \cap B(0, L\delta)$. By setting $y = \mathcal{R}z$ we obtain

$$I_k = \int\limits_{\mathcal{R}(\Gamma - z^k)\cap B(0,L\delta)} P(x, z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}y)[g_\varepsilon - \overline{g}](z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}y)\varphi_k(z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}y)d\sigma(y).$$

By (7) and (a) we may assume that

$$\mathcal{R}(\Gamma - z^k) \cap B(0, L\delta) = \{(y', \psi(y')) : \ |y'| < 100\delta\} \cap B(0, L\delta),$$

and hence

$$I_k = \int\limits_{|y'|<L\delta} P(x, z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y', \psi(y')))[g_\varepsilon - \overline{g}](z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y', \psi(y')))\cdot$$

$$\varphi_k(z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y', \psi(y')))(1 + |\nabla\psi(y')|^2)^{1/2}dy'.$$

Step 3. Reduction to oscillatory integrals. Since g is one periodic in its second variable and sufficiently smooth, we have

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} c_m(x)e^{2\pi i m\cdot y},$$

and hence

$$g_\varepsilon(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} c_m(x)e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{\varepsilon}\cdot x},$$

where $c_m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}^N$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Using this and orthogonality of $\mathcal{R}$ we have

$$(9) \quad [g_\varepsilon - \overline{g}](z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y', \psi(y'))) = \sum_{m\neq 0} c_m(z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y', \psi(y')))e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{\varepsilon} m\cdot z^k} e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{\varepsilon} <\mathcal{R}m, (y', \psi(y'))>},$$

where $< \cdot, \cdot >$ denotes the scalar product. By setting $n := \mathcal{R}m$ and $n = |n|(n', n_d)$ with $|(n', n_d)| = 1$ from (9) we obtain

$$[g_\varepsilon - \overline{g}](z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y', \psi(y'))) = \sum_{m\neq 0} c_m(z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y', \psi(y')))e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{\varepsilon} m\cdot z^k} e^{2\pi i \lambda F(y')},$$

where

$$F(y') = n' \cdot y' + n_d\psi(y'),$$

and $\lambda := \frac{|n|}{\varepsilon} = \frac{|m|}{\varepsilon}$, since $\mathcal{R}$ is orthogonal. Next, by setting

$$\Phi_k(y') = \varphi_k(z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y', \psi(y')))(1 + |\nabla\psi(y')|^2)^{1/2},$$

and

$$I_{k,m} = \int\limits_{|y'|<L\delta} c_m(z^k+\mathcal{R}^{-1}(y',\psi(y')))P(x,z^k+\mathcal{R}^{-1}(y',\psi(y')))e^{2\pi i\lambda F(y')}\Phi_k(y')dy',$$

we obtain

$$|I_k| \leq \sum_{m\neq 0} |I_{k,m}|.$$

Step 4. Decay of I_k. We split the study of decay of the integrals $I_{k,m}$ into two cases.

Case 1. $|n'| \geq K_1\delta/2$.

We have $\nabla F(y') = n' + n_d\nabla\psi(y')$. Then $|n'_j| \geq K_1\delta/2d$ for some $1 \leq j \leq d-1$, hence by (8) on $\mathrm{supp}(\Phi_k)$ we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j}\right| \geq \frac{K_1\delta}{2d} - K_2|y'| \geq \frac{K_1\delta}{2d} - K_2L\delta = \frac{K_1\delta}{2d} - \frac{K_1\delta}{4d} \geq \frac{K_1\delta}{4d}. \tag{10}$$

Now integrating by parts in $I_{k,m}$ in the j-th coordinate twice, by virtue of (10) and Lemma 1.7 for all $x \in D$ we conclude

$$|I_{k,m}(x)| \leq C\lambda^{-2} \int\limits_{|y'|\leq L\delta} \frac{\left[|c_m| + \left|\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial y_j}\right| + \left|\frac{\partial^2 c_m}{\partial y_j^2}\right|\right](z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y',\psi(y')))}{|x - z^k - \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y',\psi(y'))|^{d-1+2}}dy'. \tag{11}$$

Recall that $d(x)$ is the distance of $x \in D$ from the boundary of D, and set

$$D_\varepsilon = \{x \in D : \ d(x) \geq \varepsilon\}. \tag{12}$$

Now observe that

(13)

$$\int\limits_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|x - z^k - \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y',\psi(y'))|^{d+1}}dx \leq C\int\limits_{|w|\geq\varepsilon} \frac{dw}{|w|^{d+1}} \leq C\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{C} \frac{r^{d-1}}{r^{d+1}}dr = \frac{C}{\varepsilon}.$$

Combining this and (11) we obtain

$$\int\limits_{D_\varepsilon} |I_{k,m}(x)|dx \leq C\lambda^{-2}\varepsilon^{-1} \int\limits_{|y'|\leq L\delta} \left[|c_m| + \left|\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial y_j}\right| + \left|\frac{\partial^2 c_m}{\partial y_j^2}\right|\right](z^k+\mathcal{R}^{-1}(y',\psi(y')))dy'.$$

Now taking into account the smoothness properties of g and applying Lemma 1.9 to g and to its derivatives to sum up c_m and its derivatives, from the last estimate we obtain

$$\sum_{m\neq 0, m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} ||I_{k,m}||_{L^1(D_\varepsilon)} \leq C\varepsilon. \tag{14}$$

Case 2. $|n'| < K_1\delta/2$.

Since $\delta > 0$ is small and $|(n', n_d)| = 1$ we have $|n_d| > 1/2$ and hence

$$\left|\frac{n'}{n_d}\right| < \frac{K_1\delta}{2\frac{1}{2}} = K_1\delta.$$

By (d) there exists a unique $\widetilde{y'}$ with $|\widetilde{y'}| \leq \delta$ and $\nabla\psi(\widetilde{y'}) = -\frac{n'}{n_d}$. Clearly $\nabla F(\widetilde{y'}) = 0$, and using (c) we arrive at

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{y_j}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j}\right)\right| = |n_d\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y_j^2}| \geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{99}{100}a_1.$$

From the latter it follows that

$$\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j}(\widetilde{y'} + se'_j)\right| \geq \frac{99}{200}a_1|s|, \qquad |s| \leq 4\delta,$$

where s is scalar and e'_j is the j-th unit vector of $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. By (c) for $i \neq j$ we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j}\right)\right| = \left|n_d\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y_i\partial y_j}\right| \leq \frac{a_1}{1000d},$$

from which we obtain

$$\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j}(\widetilde{y'} + z')\right| \geq c|z_j|, \tag{15}$$

for $z' \in \mathcal{C}_j$ and $\widetilde{y'} + z' \in \operatorname{supp}(\Phi_k)$ where

$$\mathcal{C}_j = \{z' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : |z'_j| \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d-1}}|z'|\}, \qquad 1, 2, ..., d-1.$$

Clearly the cones $\mathcal{C}_j$ cover $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. For $j = 1, 2, ..., d-1$ there exists ω_j supported in $\mathcal{C}_j$, smooth away from the origin and homogenous of degree 0 such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\omega_j(z') = 1, \qquad \forall z' \neq 0.$$

Now fix a nonnegative function $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ such that $h(y') = 0$ for $|y'| \geq 2$ and $h(y') = 1$ for $|y'| \leq 1$. Setting $y' = \widetilde{y'} + z'$ and $z^* := z^k + \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\widetilde{y'} + z', \psi(\widetilde{y'} + z'))$ we obtain

$$I_{k,m} = \int\limits_{|\widetilde{y'}+z'|<L\delta} c_m(z^*)P(x, z^*)e^{2\pi i\lambda F(\widetilde{y'}+z')}\Phi_k(\widetilde{y'} + z')dz'.$$

Set

$$I^1_{k,m} = \int\limits_{|\widetilde{y'}+z'|<L\delta} h(\varepsilon^{-1/2}z')c_m(z^*)P(x,z^*)e^{2\pi i\lambda F(\widetilde{y'}+z')}\Phi_k(\widetilde{y'}+z')dz',$$

and

$$I^2_{k,m} = \int\limits_{|\widetilde{y'}+z'|<L\delta} (1-h(\varepsilon^{-1/2}z'))c_m(z^*)P(x,z^*)e^{2\pi i\lambda F(\widetilde{y'}+z')}\Phi_k(\widetilde{y'}+z')dz',$$

so that $I_{k,m} = I^1_{k,m} + I^2_{k,m}$. It follows from Lemma 1.7 that

$$\int\limits_{D_\varepsilon} |P(x,y)|dx \leq C\int\limits_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{dx}{|x-y|^{d-1}} \leq C,$$

uniformly for $y \in \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, which together with the smoothness condition on g and Lemma 1.9 gives

$$\sum_{m\neq 0, m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \int\limits_{D_\varepsilon} |I^1_{k,m}(x)|dx \leq C \sum_{m\neq 0, m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \int\limits_{|z'|\leq 2\varepsilon^{1/2}} |c_m(z^*)|dz' \leq C\varepsilon^{(d-1)/2}.$$

For the second part we have $I^2_{k,m} = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{d-1} I^{2,j}_{k,m}$ where

$$I^{2,j}_{k,m} = \int \omega_j(z')(1-h(\varepsilon^{-1/2}z'))c_m(z^*)P(x,z^*)e^{2\pi i\lambda F}\Phi_k(\widetilde{y'}+z')dz'.$$

Now integrating by parts with respect to z_j in $I^{2,j}_{k,m}$ twice we obtain

$$|I^{2,j}_{k,m}(x)| \leq C\lambda^{-2}\cdot \tag{16}$$
$$\int \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} [\frac{1}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j}} \omega_j(z')(1-h(\varepsilon^{-1/2}z'))c_m(z^*)P(x,z^*)\Phi_k] \right\} \right| dz'$$

Observe that since ω_j is homogeneous of degree 0, for each $j = 1, 2, ..., d-1$ and small $|z'|$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k \omega_j}{\partial z_j^k}(z') \right| \leq C\frac{1}{|z'|^k}, \qquad k = 1, 2, ...$$

Using this, (15), (16), Lemma 1.7, and applying Lemma 1.9 we obtain

$$\sum_{m\neq 0, m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |I^{2,j}_{k,m}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon^2 \sum_{k=1}^{6} A_k(x),$$

where

$$A_1(x) = \int\limits_{\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq|z'|\leq C} \frac{1}{|z'|^4}\frac{1}{|x-z^*|^{d-1}}dz',$$

$$A_2(x) = \varepsilon^{-1/2} \int\limits_{\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq|z'|\leq 2\varepsilon^{1/2}} \frac{1}{|z'|^3}\frac{1}{|x-z^*|^{d-1}}dz',$$

$$A_3(x) = \int\limits_{\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq|z'|\leq C} \frac{1}{|z'|^3}\frac{1}{|x-z^*|^{d}}dz',$$

$$A_4(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \int\limits_{\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq|z'|\leq 2\varepsilon^{1/2}} \frac{1}{|z'|^2}\frac{1}{|x-z^*|^{d-1}}dz',$$

$$A_5(x) = \varepsilon^{-1/2} \int\limits_{\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq|z'|\leq 2\varepsilon^{1/2}} \frac{1}{|z'|^2}\frac{1}{|x-z^*|^{d}}dz',$$

and

$$A_6(x) = \int\limits_{\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq|z'|\leq C} \frac{1}{|z'|^2}\frac{1}{|x-z^*|^{d+1}}dz'.$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$\int\limits_{D_\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{6} A_k(x)dx \leq C \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-3/2}, & d=2, \\ \varepsilon^{-1}|\ln\varepsilon|, & d=3, \\ \varepsilon^{-1}, & d\geq 4, \end{cases}$$

where we used Fubini's theorem to change the volume and surface integrations. Using this we obtain

$$\sum_{m\neq 0, m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \int\limits_{D_\varepsilon} |I^{2,j}_{k,m}(x)|dx \leq C \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1/2}, & d=2, \\ \varepsilon|\ln\varepsilon|, & d=3, \\ \varepsilon, & d\geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Combining together the estimates for $I^{1,j}_{k,m}$ and $I^{2,j}_{k,m}$, and using (14) we arrive at

$$\int\limits_{D_\varepsilon} |I_k(x)|dx \leq C \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1/2}, & d=2, \\ \varepsilon|\ln\varepsilon|, & d=3, \\ \varepsilon, & d\geq 4. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

Step 5. ***L^p* estimates.** By virtue of (4) we have $\sup\limits_{\varepsilon>0} ||u_\varepsilon - u_0||_{L^\infty(D)} < \infty$ and hence

$$\int\limits_{D\setminus D_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon(x) - u_0(x)| dx \leq C\varepsilon, \tag{18}$$

which combined with (17) gives the claim when $p = 1$.

Now for $1 < p < \infty$ using the boundedness of $u_\varepsilon - u_0$ we obtain

$$\int\limits_D |u_\varepsilon - u_0|^p dx \leq C \int\limits_D |u_\varepsilon - u_0| dx = C||u_\varepsilon - u_0||_{L^1(D)},$$

hence

$$||u_\varepsilon - u_0||_{L^p(D)} \leq C||u_\varepsilon - u_0||^{1/p}_{L^1(D)}. \tag{19}$$

Theorem 1.2 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It is known that

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(x) - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\int\limits_\Gamma u_\varepsilon(y) d\sigma(y) - \int\limits_D N(x, y) F_\varepsilon(y) dy = \int\limits_\Gamma N(x, y) g_\varepsilon(y) d\sigma(y).$$

By this, the proof of the theorem basically follows from the proof of Theorem 1.2, by simple modification. Uniform boundedness of $v_\varepsilon(x)$ with respect to $x \in D$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ now follows from the estimate of $|N(x, y)|$ provided by Lemma 1.10. Next, instead of (12) we consider $D_\varepsilon := \{x \in D : \ d(x) \geq \varepsilon^2\}$. It is left to replace $P(x, y)$ by $N(x, y)$, and instead of Lemma 1.7 use estimates of Lemma 1.10 in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that a better convergence rate in comparison with the Dirichlet problem is due to lower singularity of the kernel $N(x, y)$ than that of $P(x, y)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By the second part of Lemma 1.10 we see that the gradient of the Neumann matrix with respect to x variable, which is inside the domain, enjoys almost the same regularity properties as that of the Poisson kernel provided by Lemma 1.7. But this regularity is enough to repeat the steps of the proof of Theorem 1.2 up to Step 5, and to obtain the same estimates in the region D_ε which is away from the boundary. To complete the proof of the theorem for $p = 1$ we need to prove the analogue of (18). Here we use Lemma 1.11. Keeping the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 for each small $\tau > 0$ we have

$$\int\limits_{D\setminus D_\varepsilon} |\nabla(v_\varepsilon - v_0)(x)| dx \leq C_\tau \int\limits_{D\setminus D_\varepsilon} \frac{dx}{d^\tau(x)} \leq C_\tau \int\limits_0^\varepsilon \frac{dr}{r^\tau} = C_\tau \varepsilon^{1-\tau}, \tag{20}$$

which proves the case $p = 1$. Now for $1 < p < \infty$, take $r > p$. By the Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$||\nabla(v_\varepsilon - v_0)||_{L^p(D)} \leq ||\nabla(v_\varepsilon - v_0)||^{\alpha_r}_{L^1(D)} ||\nabla(v_\varepsilon - v_0)||^{1-\alpha_r}_{L^r(D)}, \tag{21}$$

where $1/p = \alpha_r + (1 - \alpha_r)/r$, and $\alpha_r \in [0, 1]$. From which we conclude

$$\alpha_r = \frac{1}{p} \frac{r-p}{r-1}.$$

From Lemma 1.11 we have $||\nabla(v_\varepsilon - v_0)||^{1-\alpha_r}_{L^r(D)} \leq C_\tau$, where C_τ depends on a small parameter in the lemma. The bound for L^p-norm now follows form the case $p = 1$ and the fact that $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \alpha_r = 1/p$. □

3. Optimality: proof of Theorem 1.3.

Throughout this section instead of systems we will consider equations, so the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is considered only in the case $N = 1$. We begin with a simple lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *(Concentration near the boundary) Let u be the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in domain D with boundary data $g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ which is Lipschitz with constant $Lip(g)$.*

Then there exist constants C_1, C_2 depending on dimension, domain, operator, and independent of g, so that for any $x \in D$, $\xi \in \Gamma$, and small enough $\delta > 0$ one has

$$|u(x) - g(\xi)| \leq C_1 \delta Lip(g) + \frac{1}{8} ||g||_\infty,$$

provided $|x - \xi| \leq C_2 \delta$.

Proof. By the Poisson representation we have

$$u(x) = \int\limits_\Gamma P(x, y) g(y) d\sigma(y), \qquad x \in D.$$

Fix $\xi \in \Gamma$ and $x \in D$. If $|x - \xi| \leq \delta/2$, and $|\xi - y| > \delta$ where $y \in \Gamma$, then clearly $|x - y| > \delta/2$. Using this, (4), Lemma 1.7 for $\alpha = 0$, and the fact that the Poisson kernel has integral equal to one over the boundary Γ, we obtain

$$|u(x) - g(\xi)| = |\int\limits_{y\in\Gamma} |P(x,y)|[g(y) - g(\xi)]d\sigma(y)| \leq \tag{22}$$

$$\int\limits_{|y-\xi|<\delta} |P(x,y)||g(y) - g(\xi)|d\sigma(y) + \int\limits_{|y-\xi|\geq\delta} |P(x,y)||g(y) - g(\xi)|d\sigma(y) \leq$$

$$C\delta Lip(g) + C||g||_{\infty} d(x) \int\limits_{|y-\xi|\geq\delta} \frac{d\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^d},$$

where the constant C is determined by the Poisson kernel. The last integral is estimated as in 4, and uniformly with respect to x we obtain

$$\int\limits_{|y-\xi|\geq\delta} \frac{d\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^d} \leq \int\limits_{\delta/2}^{diam(D)} \frac{r^{d-2}}{r^d} dr \leq C\frac{1}{\delta}.$$

It is left to take x so that $|x - \xi| < C_2\delta$, where C_2 is a sufficiently small constant independent of $x \in D$, $\xi \in \Gamma$, and g, hence the claim. □

The next Lemma is essentially the Weyl's equidistribution theorem, in our case concerning equidistribution of the scaled surfaces modulo one.

Definition 3.1. *For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we say that they are equal modulo one, and write $x \equiv y(\mod 1)$ if $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.*

If $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by $x \mod 1$ we denote the unique point y in the unit torus of $\mathbb{R}^d$ which is equal to x modulo one.

Lemma 3.2. *(Equidistribution of scaled surfaces) Suppose Γ is a uniformly convex smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$). Then for any Riemann integrable function $g : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ one has*

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} g(x)dx = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{d-1}(\Gamma)} \int\limits_{\Gamma} g(\lambda y)d\sigma(y), \tag{23}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$ denotes $(d-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Proof. We first prove the Lemma for smooth functions. Suppose $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and is one periodic. Then

$$g(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} c_m e^{2\pi i m\cdot x}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

which converges absolutely. Plugging this expansion into (23) we see that is is enough to prove that

$$a_\lambda := \sum_{m\neq 0} c_m \int_\Gamma e^{2\pi i \lambda m\cdot y} d\sigma(y)$$

converges to 0, as $\lambda \to \infty$. Denote by $\widehat{\sigma}(\xi)$ the Fourier transform of the surface measure σ. The following estimate is known (see [S], chapter VIII, Theorem 1)

$$|\widehat{\sigma}(\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{-(d-1)/2}.$$

Using this estimate we obtain

$$|a_\lambda| \leq \sum_{m\neq 0} |c_m||\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda m)| \leq C\lambda^{-(d-1)/2} \sum_{m\neq 0} \frac{|c_m|}{||m||^{(d-1)/2}}.$$

The last sum converges, due to smoothness of h, and thus the claim follows for smooth functions.

Now if g is a characteristic function of some ball in the unit torus, then it is easy to see that there exist a sequence of smooth functions f_n and F_n, $n = 1, 2, ...$ so that

1. $f_n(x) \leq g(x) \leq F_n(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$
2. $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (F_n(x) - f_n(x))dx = 0,$

from which it follows that (23) holds true for characteristic functions of balls. Clearly it will hold true also for their linear combinations, i.e. step-functions. Now observe that when g is Riemann integrable function, then the same pointwise bounds from above and below hold true by means of step-functions, hence the statement □

Applying Lemma 3.2 to characteristic functions we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let* Γ *be as above, and* $A \subset \mathbb{T}^d$ *be a ball. Then*

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{d-1}\{y \in \Gamma : \ \lambda y \mod 1 \in A\}}{\mathcal{H}_{d-1}(\Gamma)}.$$

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Without loss of generality we may assume that the boundary data g has mean value 0, and hence $u_0 = 0$.

By the Poisson representation we have

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \int_\Gamma P(x,y)g(y/\varepsilon)d\sigma(y), \qquad x \in D. \tag{24}$$

If $g \equiv 0$, then we are done, otherwise denote $E := \{x \in \mathbb{T}^d : |g(x)| \geq 1/2\|g\|_\infty\}$. Clearly E is an open set, and by passing to a subset of positive measure, we may assume that E is a ball.

Due to Corollary 3.3 there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ so that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough one has

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{d-1}(\Gamma)}\mathcal{H}_{d-1}\{y \in \Gamma : |g_\varepsilon(y)| > \frac{1}{2}\|g\|_\infty\} > 1/2\mu(E).$$

Now fix $y \in \Gamma$, so that $|g_\varepsilon(y)| > 1/2\|g\|_\infty$, and apply Lemma 3.1 with

$$\delta = \frac{1}{8C_1}\frac{\|g\|_\infty}{Lip(g_\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{8C_1}\frac{\varepsilon\|g\|_\infty}{Lip(g)}.$$

We obtain

$$|u_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)| < \|g\|_\infty/4, \text{ if } x \in D, \text{ and } |x-y| \leq C\varepsilon, \tag{25}$$

where the constant C is independent ofε. Since $|g_\varepsilon(y)| > \|g\|_\infty/2$ on a fixed portion of the boundary for all small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, inequality (25) implies that on a fixed portion of the strip $B_\varepsilon := \{x \in B : d(x) < C\varepsilon\}$ one has $|u_\varepsilon(x)| > \|g\|_\infty/4$, where $x \in B_\varepsilon$.

Now for $1 \leq p < \infty$ taking the L^p norm of u_ε only on this strip we obtain $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(B)} \geq C\varepsilon^{1/p}\|g\|_\infty$, which proves the theorem. □

We remark here that Theorem 1.3 gives sharp bounds on convergence rate of the homogenization process in dimensions 4 and higher, and nearly sharp in dimension 3. For $d = 2$, and $p = 1$ we give an example for which the convergence rate is exactly $\varepsilon^{1/2}$.

Example (d=2). Let B be the unit disc of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $g(x_1, x_2) = \varepsilon^{2\pi i x_2}$. Note that g is one periodic and has mean value 0 in the unit torus. Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_\varepsilon(x) = 0, & x \in B, \\ u_\varepsilon(x) = g(x/\varepsilon), & x \in \partial B. \end{cases}$$

To estimate u_ε on B we proceed using the method of stationary phase (see e.g. see [S], chapter VIII). Let $P(x, y)$, where $|x| < 1$, $|y| = 1$ be the Poisson kernel for the Laplace operator in B. We will consider $u_\varepsilon(x)$ only at the points $|x| < 1/2$ where $P(x, y)$ is a smooth function with bounded derivatives. Observe that the only critical points of the phase function g are north and south poles of the disc, i.e. $n_+ := (0, 1)$ and $n_- := (0, -1)$. It is also clear that these are non-degenerate critical points. Hence we can invoke the principle of stationary phase (see [S], chapter VIII, Prop. 6) and obtain

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = C\varepsilon^{1/2}[P(x, n_+)e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\varepsilon}} + P(x, n_-)e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{\varepsilon}}] + O(\varepsilon^{3/2}),$$

where $O(\varepsilon^{3/2})$ is uniform with respect to $|x| < 1/2$. Now to see that the two terms in the parentheses do not cancel, it is enough to restrict x to $\{x = (x_1, x_2) \in B : \ |x| < 1/2, \ 1/4 < x_2 < 1/2\}$. Considering u_ε on this subset we see that $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(B)} \geq C\varepsilon^{1/2}$, which proves that the convergence rate provided by Theorem 1.3 in the case $p = 1$ and $d = 2$ is optimal.

References

[ADN1] Agmon, S., Douglis, A., Nirenberg, L.: Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12** 623–727 (1959)

[ADN2] Agmon, S., Douglis, A., Nirenberg, L.: Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. II. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **17** 35–92 (1964)

[ASS] Aleksanyan, H. Shahgholian, H., Sjölin, P.: Applications of Fourier analysis in homogenization and boundary layer. Available at arXiv:1205.5210v2 (2012)

[AA] Allaire, G., Amar, M.: Boundary layer tails in periodic homogenization. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **4**, 209–243 (1999) (electronic)

[BLP] Bensoussan, A., Lions, J.L., Papanicolaou, G.: Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications. North-Holland (1978)

[GM] Gérard-Varet, D., Masmoudi, N.: Homogenization and boundary layer, to appear in Acta Math.

[JKO] Jikov, V., Kozlov, S., Oleinik, O.: Homogenization of differential operators and integral functionals. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1994). Translated from the Russian by G. A. Yosifian [G. A. Iosifyan]

[KLS1] Kenig, C. , Lin, F., Shen, Zh.: Periodic Homogenization of Green and Neumann Functions. Available at arXiv:1201.1440v1 (2012)

[KLS2] Kenig, C. , Lin, F., Shen, Zh.: Convergence Rates in L^2 for Elliptic Homogenization Problems. Available at arXiv:1103.0023v1 (2011)

[KLS3] Kenig, C. , Lin, F., Shen, Zh.: Homogenization of Elliptic Systems with Neumann Boundary Conditions. Available at arXiv:1010.6114v1 (2010)

[LS] Lee, K., Shahgholian, H.: Homogenization of the boundary value for the Dirichlet problem. Avaliable at arXiv:1201.6683v1 (2012)

[S] Stein, E.: Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals; Princeton University Press (1993)

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Yerevan State University, Alex Manoogian 1, 0025 Yerevan, Armenia
E-mail address: `hayk.aleksanyan@gmail.com`

Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail address: `henriksh@kth.se`

Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail address: `persj@math.kth.se`